


Faculty Review of Open eTextbooks

The <u>California Open Educational Resources Council</u> has designed and implemented a faculty review process of the free and open etextbooks showcased within the California Open Online Library for Education (<u>www.cool4ed.org</u>). Faculty from the California Community Colleges, the California State University, and the University of California were invited to review the selected no/low cost and open etextboks using a rubric. Faculty received a stipend for their efforts and funding was provided by the State of California, the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

Textbook Name:

Digital History




Textbook Author: Sara McNeil

License:

<u>Copyright 2014</u>. Teachers are expressly given permission to reproduce print copies of *Digital History* materials for their courses as long as *Digital History* is credited and the *Digital History* copyright remains on each page that is printed.

Find it: eTextbook Website



Date Reviewed:

August, 2014.

California OER Council eTextbook Evaluation Rubric CA Course ID: HIST 130

Subject Matter (30 possible points) bthe content accurate, error-free, and unbiased?		Very Weak	Limited	Adequat	Strong (4 pts)	Superior
		(1pt)	(2 pts)	e (3pts)	(4 pts) X	(5 pts)
Does the text adequately cover the designated course with a sufficient degree of depth and scope?					x	
Does the textbook use sufficient and relevant examples to present its subject matter?					х	
Does the textbook use a clear, consistent terminology to present its subject matter?						х
Does the textbook reflect current knowledge of the subject matter?						х

Subject Matter (30 possible points)	N/A	Very Weak	Limited	Adequat	Strong	Superior
	(0 pts)	(1pt)	(2 pts)	e (3pts)	(4 pts)	(5 pts)
Does the textbook present its subject matter in a culturally sensitive manner? (e.g. Is the textbook free of offensive and insensitive examples? Does it include examples that are inclusive of a variety of races, ethnicities, and backgrounds?)					х	

Total points: 26 out of 30

Please provide comments on any aspect of the subject matter of this textbook:

- I feel the section on "music", "films", "documents" very useful.
- "People"and "Links" were a little lean...
- Maps are in Black and White... I did not find any charts, graphs etc.
- "Partners" is impressive!
- The site seems to be overloaded for HIS II, there are 6 "eras" for US I, and 13 "eras" for US II.

Instructional Design (35 possible points)	N/A (0 pts)	Very Weak (1pt)	Limited (2 pts)	Adequate (3pts)	Strong (4 pts)	Superior (5 pts)
Does the textbook present its subject materials at						х
appropriate reading levels for undergrad use?						~
Does the textbook reflect a consideration of different				х		
learning styles? (e.g. visual, textual?)				^		
Does the textbook present explicit learning outcomes			x			
aligned with the course and curriculum?			^			
Is a coherent organization of the textbook evident to			x			
the reader/student?			^			
Does the textbook reflect best practices in the				х		
instruction of the designated course?				^		
Does the textbook contain sufficient effective ancillary						
materials? (e.g. test banks, individual and/or group				х		
activities or exercises, pedagogical apparatus, etc.)						
Is the textbook searchable?				х		
Total points: 21 out of 35 poin						

Please provide comments on any aspect of the subject matter of this textbook:

- I think Search and Site Map should be more prominant!
- It took me awhile to locate "Teaching" and how to access text... my students are probably more adept at this!

N/A (0 pts)	Very Weak (1pt)	Limited (2 pts)	Adequate (3pts)	Strong (4 pts)	Superior (5 pts)
				х	
					х
			x		
				х	
				х	
		(0 pts) Weak	(0 pts) Weak (2 pts)	(0 pts)Weak (1pt)(2 pts)(3pts)Image: Constraint of the state of the st	(0 pts)Weak (1pt)(2 pts)(3 pts)(4 pts)Image: 1 pt state Image: 1 pt state Image: 1 pt stateImage: 1 pt state Image: 1 pt state

Please provide comments on any aspect of the subject matter of this textbook:

• These areas were addressed under Subject Matter...

Access (25 possible points)	N/A	Very	Limited	Adequate	Strong	Superior
	(0 pts)	Weak	(2 pts)	(3pts)	(4 pts)	(5 pts)
		(1pt)				

Access (25 possible points)	N/A (0 pts)	Very Weak (1pt)	Limited (2 pts)	Adequate (3pts)	Strong (4 pts)	Superior (5 pts)
Is the textbook compatible with standard and commonly available hardware/software in college/university campus student computer labs?						х
Is the textbook accessible in a variety of different electronic formats? (e.gtxt, .pdf, .epub, etc.)				х		
Can the textbook be printed easily?				х		
Does the user interface implicitly inform the reader how to interact with and navigate the textbook?				х		
How easily can the textbook be annotated by students and instructors?				х		

Please provide comments on any aspect of the subject matter of this textbook:

Total points: 17 out of 25 points

• This is my weakest area of expertise hence mostly adequate scores...

Overall Ratings						
	Not at	Very Weak	Limited	Adequate	Strong	Superior
	all	(1 pt)	(2 pts)	(3 pts)	(4 pts)	(5 pts)
	(0 pts)					
What is your overall					х	
impression of the textbook?					^	
	Not at	Strong	Limited			Enthusiastically
	all	reservations	willingness	Willing	Strongly	willing
	(0 pts)	(1 pt)	(2 pts)	(3 pts)	willing (4 pts)	(5 pts)
How willing would you be to					v	
adopt this book?					х	

Total points: 8 out of 10 points

Overall Comments

If you were to recommend this textbook to colleagues, what merits of the textbook would you highlight?

• Lots of options for technologically literate students to access... Many good features I will be incorporating in my existing classes soon!

What areas of this textbook require improvement in order for it to be used in your courses?

• Organization... it was a bit overwhelming at first, took some time to familiarize myself! Perhaps it is my lack of background with a non-traditional information source (rather than existing textbook).

We invite you to add your feedback on the textbook or the review to <u>the textbook site in MERLOT</u>. (Please <u>register</u> in MERLOT to post your feedback.)



For questions or more information, contact the CA Open Educational Resources Council



This review is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.